Last Time

- Discussed Radiation


$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
-\square \varphi=\rho \\
-\square A=J / c
\end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Use the Grin function of wave-egn } \\
& \text { to solve }
\end{aligned}
$$

From Here we derived the Lienard-Wiechert
Potentials. In the far field these are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi=\frac{e}{4 \pi r(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})} \\
\vec{A}=\frac{e}{4 \pi r} \frac{\vec{V}(T) / c}{(1-n \cdot \beta(T))}
\end{array}
$$

Then solved for fields:

$$
\vec{E}_{\text {rad }}=n \times n \times \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}=\frac{e}{4 \pi r c^{2}} \frac{n \times(\vec{n}-\vec{\beta}) \times \vec{a}}{(1-n-\beta)^{3}}
$$

Last Time pg, 2
Studied the case for a $11 \beta$


$$
\frac{d W}{d T d \Omega}=\frac{d W}{d t d \Omega} \frac{d t}{d T}=c\left|r E_{r a d}\right|^{2}(1-n \cdot \beta)
$$

Found

$$
\frac{d W}{d T d \Omega}=\frac{e^{2}}{16 \pi^{2} c^{3}} \frac{\ln x(n-\beta) \times\left. a\right|^{2}}{(1-n \cdot \beta)^{5}}
$$

Then we noted that the collinear factor
$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{(1-n \cdot \beta)}=\frac{\text { scale factor between formation }}{\text { time ticks } \Delta T}$ and observation time ticks $\Delta T$ and observation time $\Delta t$ ticks
Is Strongly enhanced for large $\gamma$, and small $\sim^{\infty}$, but $\gamma \theta \approx 1$

$$
\frac{1}{(1-r \cdot \beta)} \approx \frac{2 \gamma^{2}}{\left(1+(\gamma \theta)^{2}\right)} \quad \text { (prove me!) }
$$

This means that radiation is concentrated in a cone of $\theta \sim \frac{1}{\gamma}$ (Though directly forward there is no radiation)

Last Time pg. 3
Then for a /1 to $\beta$ found

$$
n \times n \times a=a \sin \theta \simeq a \theta
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d W}{d T d \Omega} \sim \frac{e^{2} \frac{a^{2}}{c^{3}} \gamma^{8} \frac{(\gamma \theta)^{2}}{\left(1+(\gamma \theta)^{2}\right)^{5}} \quad d \Omega \simeq 2 \pi \theta d \theta}{} \\
& \frac{d W}{d T} \sim \frac{e^{2} a^{2} \gamma^{6}}{c^{3}} \frac{(\gamma \theta)^{2}}{\left(1+(\gamma \theta)^{2}\right)}(\gamma \theta) d(\gamma \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\frac{d W}{d T} \sim \frac{e^{2} a^{2} \gamma^{6}}{c^{3}}
$$

More Precisely Showed

$$
\frac{d W}{d T}=\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{2}{3} \frac{\gamma^{6}}{c^{3}}\left[a_{11}^{2}+\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}\right]
$$

Lenard Wiechert 1898

Analysis of Lienard-Wiechert Result
$\begin{aligned} & A^{\mu}=\frac{d^{2} x^{2}}{\overline{d \tau^{2}}}= \text { propper acceleration analyzed in } \\ & \text { homework }\end{aligned}$

In LRF of particle (LRF = local rest frame

$$
A^{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\alpha_{11} \\
\alpha_{\perp}
\end{array}\right) \quad A^{\mu} A_{\mu}=\alpha_{11}^{2}+a_{1}^{2}
$$

Thew hmwrk was to show

$$
\gamma^{3} a_{11}=\alpha_{11} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma^{2} a_{1}=\alpha_{1}
$$

Then

$$
A^{\mu} A_{\mu}=\gamma^{6}\left[a_{1}^{2}+\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}\right] \curvearrowleft \text { see pry at end }
$$

So

$$
\frac{d W}{d T}=\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{2}{3} A^{\mu} A_{\mu}
$$

Total Power (Pure Thinking)
In retrospect could "guess" this result
Look at the emission in rest frame of particle $=A^{h} A_{\mu}$ in rest frame

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { energy } \rightarrow \Delta E=\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{2}{3 c^{2}} a^{2} \Delta t \\
& \text { emitted }
\end{aligned}
$$

momention $\rightarrow \Delta \vec{P}=0 \leftarrow$ Since radiation
 emitted is emitted symmetricall and transverse to beam

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta t & =\Delta t \\
\Delta x & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then under boost

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta E=\gamma \Delta E \\
& \Delta t=\gamma \Delta t
\end{aligned}
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\text { total }}{\text { power }} & =\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta t}=\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta t}=\text { invariant } \\
& =\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{2}{3 c^{3}} \underbrace{A^{M} A_{\mu}}_{\rightarrow \text { true in all }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Linear vs. Circular Accelerators
In general, since $P^{\mu}=m U^{\mu}$, and $A^{\mu}=d U^{\mu} / d t$

$$
\frac{d W}{d T}=\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{2}{3} A^{\mu} A_{\mu}=\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{m^{2} c^{3}} \frac{d \rho^{\mu}}{d t} \frac{d \rho \mu}{d t}
$$

- Then for a linear accelerator where $d \vec{\rho} / d t$ is parallel to $v$

$$
\frac{d \vec{p}}{d \tau}=\frac{\gamma d \vec{p}}{d t} \quad \frac{d p^{0}}{d \tau}=\frac{d \sqrt{p^{2}+m^{2}} / c}{d \tau}=\frac{v}{c} \frac{d p}{d \tau}=\frac{\gamma v}{\bar{c}} \frac{d \vec{p}}{d t}
$$

So

$$
\frac{d p^{\mu}}{d \tau} \frac{d p_{n}}{d \tau}=-\left(\frac{d p^{0}}{d \tau}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d p}{d \tau}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{d \vec{p}}{d t}\right)^{2}
$$

So that the radiated energy grows with the applied force squared

$$
\frac{d W}{d T}=\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{2}{3 m^{2} c^{2}}\left(\frac{d \vec{p}}{d t}\right)^{2}
$$

and is independent of $\gamma$

- By contrast for a circular accelerator where

$\frac{d \vec{p}}{d t}$ is perpendicular to
$\vec{v}$. We have that:

$$
\frac{d p^{\mu}}{d \tau} \frac{d p}{d t}=\frac{d \vec{p}_{\perp}}{d \tau} \cdot \frac{d \vec{p}_{\perp}}{d \tau}=\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{d \vec{p}_{\perp}}{d t}\right)^{2}
$$

'We have. that

$$
\frac{d W}{d T}=\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{2}{3} m^{2} c^{3} \quad \gamma^{2}\left(\frac{d \vec{p}_{1}}{d t}\right)^{2}
$$

So the radiated power grows as $\gamma^{2}!!1$ This is becoming prohibitive at colliders today, and is a big reason for research into Linear cuccelerators

Radiation During Circular motion (Synchrotron Radiation)


- Every period the strobelight of the radiation cone points in your direction.
- The pulses of light are short in duration its $\sim \Delta / c$ the cone is narrow $\alpha \sim \frac{1}{\gamma}$ (and because of the difference between the ${ }^{\gamma}$ formation and observation times. but that we will discuss below)
- The observer sees a pulse every period:

time

Figure Credit: Christina Athansion et al, arXiv 1001.3880


Figure crédit: Christina Athanasion et al, arXiv:1001.3880


Basic Uses of Synchrotron Radiation

- Since the pulse is very narrow in time it contains a wide range of fourier frequencies

$$
\Delta \omega \sim \frac{1}{\Delta t}
$$

We should compute the pulse shape, look at its fourier transform, and compute the power in each band.?

- The light is quite intense
- Both of features are highly desirable

Estimate of The Frequency Width
The frequency width is inversely related to the time width, $\Delta t$

$$
\Delta \omega \sim \frac{1}{\Delta t}
$$

Before Starting Definitions:
$\alpha \equiv$ angular width of cone, $\alpha \sim 1 / \gamma$
$\Delta t \equiv \Delta / c \equiv$ duration Bf pulse $=$ what we want to estimate

Estimate of $\Delta \omega \mathrm{pg} .2$
See figures!
(1) At time $T$, at the saurce (retarded time) the spotlight is starting to point in your angular direction. The leading front is emitted
(2) The strobelight will point in your direction for a time set by the angular width of radiation cone $\alpha \sim \frac{1}{\gamma}$, and the angular velocity:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta T=T_{2}-T_{1}=\frac{\alpha}{\bar{\omega}_{0}}=R_{0} \frac{\alpha}{v} \sim \frac{R_{0}}{\bar{\gamma}_{c}} \\
\uparrow \\
\omega_{0}=R_{0} / v
\end{gathered}
$$

Time at source where the spotlight stops pointing at you
(3) Then the kinematics of the emission process says, that if the radiation is formed over time $\Delta T$ then it is observed to have time scale $\Delta t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta t=\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta T} \Delta T=(1-n \cdot \beta) \frac{R_{0}}{\bar{\partial} v} \sim \frac{\left(1+(\gamma \theta)^{2}\right)}{2 \gamma^{2}} \frac{R_{0}}{\gamma_{c}} \\
& \Delta t \sim \frac{R_{0} / c}{\gamma^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure Credit: Christina Athanasion et al, arXiv: 1001.3880


Estimate pg. 3
Can also see from geometry $\overbrace{\sim}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \overbrace{}^{1 / \gamma^{2}}$

$$
c \Delta t=R_{0} \alpha c-R_{0} \alpha=R_{0} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\beta}-1\right)
$$

$\Delta t \sim \frac{R_{0} / c}{\gamma^{3}}$

And

$$
\Delta \omega \sim \frac{\gamma^{3}}{\left(R_{0} / c\right)}
$$

The Fourier Spectrum
Energy

time

$$
\frac{d W}{d t d \Omega}=\underset{\text { rad }}{c|r E(t)|^{2}} \underset{\text { time }}{\text { tine per observers }}
$$

So

$$
\frac{d W}{d \Omega}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t c \underset{\operatorname{rad}}{\infty} d t
$$

Using Parsevals Them (Proved in Homework \#|)

$$
\frac{d W}{d \Omega}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} c\left|r E_{\operatorname{rad}}(\omega)\right|^{2}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\mathrm{rad}}(\omega)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\text {ti wt }} E_{\mathrm{rad}}(t) \\
& E_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i \omega t} E_{\mathrm{rad}}(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fourier Spectrum pg. 2
So we have that

$$
2 \pi \frac{d W}{d \omega d \Omega}=c\left|r E_{\mathrm{rad}}(\omega)\right|^{2}
$$

The sign of $\omega$ is not physically relevant. Since $E(t)$ is real $E(-\omega)=E^{*}(\omega)$. Thus define (also incorparating 1 a $2 \pi$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d I}{d \omega d \Omega} \equiv \frac{c}{2 \pi}\left(\left|r E_{\mathrm{rad}}(\omega)\right|^{2}+\left|r E_{\mathrm{rad}}(-\omega)\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \frac{d T}{d \omega d \Omega}=\frac{c}{\pi}\left|r E_{\operatorname{rad}}(\omega)\right|^{2} \quad \text { with } w>0
\end{aligned}
$$

So that

$$
\frac{d W}{d \Omega}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d I}{d w d \Omega} d \omega
$$

So the number of photons between $\omega+(w+d w)$ th $\frac{d N}{d \omega d \Omega} d \omega=\frac{d I}{d \omega d \Omega} d \omega$

