Conjugacy Classes  
• A group element y is conjugate to  
x if there is a ge6 such that  

$$y = g \times g^{-1}$$
  
We write  $y \sim x$   
• Then this is an equivalence relation  
· If  $y \sim x$  the  $x \sim y$   
· If  $z \sim y$  and  $y \sim x$ , then  $z \sim x$   
We can divide the group into Conjugacy  
classes C, i.e the set of elements conjugate  
to each other. Note  
 $C_1 = \{1, 1\}$   
 $C_2 = \{2, 1, 1, 1\} \leq -$  rotations in a class  
 $C_3 = \{3_0, ., 3_1, 3_2\} \leq -$  reflection in a  
class

Character Analysis

The character of a group rep X(g) = Tr D(g) is the same for each member of a conjugacy class, since if y~z  $\chi(y) = Tr D(y)$  $= T_r D(g \ge g^{-1})$ = Tr[D(g)D(z)D'(g)]= Tr [ D'(g) D(g) D(z)] = Tr D(z) Thus we may list the characters of a group in a reduced table  $C^{I}(u^{Z})$  $C_{1}(1) C_{2}(2) C_{3}(3)$   $r_{0} r_{1}r_{2} S_{0}S_{1}S_{2}$ the nr is the number M=1 Identity | 1 | 1 M=2 Alternating | 1 | -1 M=3 Matrix | 2 (-1) G of class elements For instance  $T_r D^{(3)}(r_1) = T_r \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\ +\sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} = -1$ See list of matrices

Character Orthogonality  
• Given the orthogonality theorem  

$$\sum_{g} D_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)} (D_{cd}^{(v)}(g))^{*} = n_{G} \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\alphac} \delta_{bd}$$
We may contract  
ab and cd = n\_{\mu}
$$\sum_{g} \sum_{g} \sum_{\alpha} D_{\alpha\alpha}^{(n)} (g) (D_{cc}^{(v)}(g))^{*} = n_{G} \delta_{\mu\nu} \sum_{\alpha} \delta_{\alphac} \delta_{\alphac}$$
• Thus the characters of  
different reps are orthogonal  

$$\sum_{g} \chi^{(m)} (g) (\chi^{(v)}(g))^{*} = n_{G} \delta_{\mu\nu}$$
• But the character is only a function of the class  
(it is a so-called class function)  

$$\sum_{g} \chi^{(m)} (c_{\pm}) (\chi^{(v)} (c_{\pm}))^{*} = n_{G} \delta_{\mu\nu}$$
This is a kind of inner product in dass space,  
It says the rows are orthogonal  

$$\sum_{g} \chi^{(m)} (c_{\pm}) (\chi^{(v)} (c_{\pm}))^{*} = n_{G} \delta_{\mu\nu}$$

• The 
$$\chi(C_1)$$
 are "vectors"  $\chi$  in class space  $\{C_1, C_2, S\}$   
which has dimension  $N_{class} = 3$ . For every  
rep  $\mu = 1...N_{rep}$  we get another orthogonal vector  
 $\chi''''$   
We must have (or the number of orthogonal vectors in the "class space"  
would exceed the dimension of the space)  
 $N_{rep} \leq N_{class}$   
• In fact it turns out that  $N_{rep} = N_{class}$ ,  
In words, the numbers of representations is equal  
to the number of conjugacy classes.  
• In fact the columns of the character table  
are orthogonal as well (summing over-reps)  
 $N_{rep}$   
 $\chi_{ri}$   
Thus contracting column T and 2  
(See Hammermesh for proof)

TRIF

Reduction of Representations • Given a representation how can we tell if it is reducable? The an are integers D(g) = Z@ an D(m) eig.  $= 2D^{(1)} \oplus 3D^{(2)} =$ D(1) Du D(3) Well x(g) = Tr D(g) D(3) D(3) TX(g) = Zanx(m)(g) porthogonal "Z(m), Z(v) ~ Snv" Since for irreps  $\sum_{g} \chi^{(n)}(g)(\chi^{(\nu)}(g))^* = n_G \delta_{m\nu}$ So  $\sum_{q} \chi(q) \chi^{*}(q) = \left(\sum_{m} |a_{m}|^{2}\right) n_{6} > n_{6}$ So we have a remarkably simple result: if a representation is irreducable, the sum of characters squared is the order of the group, else it is reducable. Further we may

Find the q, by taking the dot product  
of 
$$\overline{\chi}(q)$$
 with  $\chi^{\alpha}(q)$   
$$\underline{a_{\mu}} = \underbrace{1 \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} \chi(q) (\chi^{\alpha}(q))^{*}}_{n \in \mathcal{A}}$$
  
We will use this shortly

Small Oscillations x<sub>2</sub> ← x, x, x, are small T X The vector space of displacements is  $=\begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ y_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ y_{2} \\ y_{2} \\ x_{3} \\ y_{3} \\ y_{4} \\ y_{4} \\ y_{5} \\ y$ Then clearly if I have a configuration, q then a rotated configuration will have the same kinetic and potential energies for any displacement. The lagrangian L[q] is unchanged by group ops & [Or q] for any q

Lets book at 
$$\hat{O}_{s,q}$$
  
 $\hat{O}_{s,q} = \begin{pmatrix} x, \\ -y, \\ x_{3} \\ -y_{3} \end{pmatrix}$   
 $\hat{O}_{s,q} = \begin{pmatrix} x, \\ -y, \\ x_{3} \\ -y_{3} \end{pmatrix}$   
 $\hat{O}_{s,q} = \begin{pmatrix} x, \\ -y, \\ -y_{3} \end{pmatrix}$   
 $\hat{O}_{s,q} = \begin{pmatrix} x, \\ -y, \\ -y_{3} \end{pmatrix}$   
 $\hat{O}_{s,q} = \begin{pmatrix} x, \\ -y, \\ -y_{3} \end{pmatrix}$   
 $\hat{O}_{s,q} = \begin{pmatrix} x, \\ -y, \\ -y_{3} \end{pmatrix}$   
 $\hat{O}_{s,q} = \begin{pmatrix} y, \\ -y, \\ -y_{3} \end{pmatrix}$   
 $\hat{O}_{s,q} = \begin{pmatrix} y, \\ -y, \\ -y_{3} \end{pmatrix}$   
 $\hat{O}_{s,q} = \begin{pmatrix} y, \\ -y, \\ -y, \\ -y_{3} \end{pmatrix}$   
 $\hat{O}_{s,q} = \begin{pmatrix} y, \\ -y, \\ -$ 

• We may write down the other ops  
in a similar tashion, e.g.  

$$O(r_{1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & D \\ D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad = \begin{pmatrix} -V_{2} & -V_{3}/_{2} \\ V_{3}/_{2} & V_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$O(e) = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \qquad D = D^{(3)}(A)$$
• The complete list is  $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$   
in the slides. Already  
using  $O(e) = O(r_{1}) = O(c_{0})$  we can determine  
the characters  
 $\chi(e) = 6 \quad \chi(r_{1}) = 0 \quad \chi(s_{0}) = 0$   
 $C_{1} \qquad C_{2} \qquad C_{3}$   
 $r_{1}=1 \qquad r_{2}=1 \qquad r_{1}=3$   
• Then this rep is reducable  
 $\Sigma [\chi(g_{1})]^{2} = 6.6 > 3.6$ 

$$O_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \qquad O_{r_{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & D \\ D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad O_{r_{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D \\ D & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$O_{s_{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & D \\ D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad O_{s_{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad O_{s_{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & D \\ 0 & D & 0 \\ D & 0 & 0 \\ D & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where D in  $O_{s_2}$  for example is short for  $D^{(3)}(s_2)$ .

Oscillations in this basis

The mechanical problem  
• 
$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} m \frac{1}{2}^{2}$$
  
•  $U = U_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial q} q_{\alpha} q_{\beta}$   
 $= \frac{1}{2} q_{\alpha} H_{\alpha\beta} q_{\beta} + const H_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial q^{\alpha} \partial q^{\beta}}$   
Some notation  $\vec{q} = ()$   
•  $\langle \vec{q}, \vec{q} \rangle = \hat{q}T\vec{q}$   
Then  
 $\langle \vec{q}, H\vec{q} \rangle = \hat{q}T\vec{q}$   
Then  
 $= \frac{1}{2}$   
•  $\langle \vec{q}, H\vec{q} \rangle = \hat{q}TH\vec{q}$   
• The mechanical problem is  
 $m \frac{\partial^{2} \vec{q}}{\partial q^{\beta}} + H\vec{q} = 0$   
 $\frac{1}{2}$   
• Our goal is to find the eigenvectors and  
evalues of H  
 $H\vec{x}_{\alpha} = K\vec{y}_{\alpha}$ 

Then we may solve the EOM, For if  

$$\vec{q} = q_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{l}_{\lambda}$$
,  
Then  
 $m d^{2}q_{\lambda} = -k_{\lambda}q_{\lambda}$   $q_{\lambda} = q_{\lambda}(e) e^{\pm i\omega_{\lambda}t}$   
 $d_{t^{2}}$   
with  $\omega_{\lambda} = \sqrt{k_{\lambda}}/m$ .  
The eigenvectors of H are real and orthogonal.  
Symmetry:  
• Under the group ops  $\vec{q} = q_{\lambda} \cdot \vec{e}_{\lambda}$   
 $q_{\lambda} = \sqrt{(b_{\lambda}(3))}q_{\lambda}$   $\vec{q} \rightarrow 0\vec{q}$   
• The matrices O are orthogonal  $OTO = 1$ .  
 $s_{0} < 0\vec{x}, 0\vec{q} > e(\vec{x}, 0to)\vec{q}$   
• The potential energy is unchanged by the  
group operations  
 $< O\vec{q}, HO\vec{q} > = \vec{q}TOT HO\vec{q} = \langle \vec{q}, H\vec{q} \rangle$   
So we must have  
 $OT HO = H$  i.e  $OTHO = H$ 

How does this help us?  
• Suppose that 
$$\mathcal{V}$$
 is an eigen-function.  
Then  $\mathcal{O}_{g}\mathcal{V}$  is also an eigen-function with  
the same eigenvalue  
 $\mathcal{O}_{g}\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{K} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{g}\mathcal{V}$   
commute  $\mathcal{O}_{g}\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{K} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{g}\mathcal{V}$   
• So we get a set of functions  
 $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{O}_{f}\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}_{f}\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}_{g}\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}_{g}\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}_{g}\mathcal{V}$   
These may not be distrinct. But further group  
action will simply mix up these states  
• There are a set of  $\mathcal{X}$  functions  
 $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{V}, \cdots + \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{X}$  (they span a space which is invariant  
under group operations)  
Which span this space. Action by the group  
Returns a linear combo of these states  
 $\mathcal{O}_{g}\mathcal{V}_{X} = \mathcal{V}_{X} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{XX}(\mathcal{G})$   
The  $\mathcal{D}_{XX}(\mathcal{G})$  is a representation of the group.

In the "normal" situation DXX (m) Will be an irreducable representation of the group. The 4 are degenerate because of (linear combos of) symmetry we can change 4 (m) to 4 (m) by group ops. (and the group ops commute with hamiltonian) If the representation is reducable then we could decompose it into irreps and have a set 2 4 (mi) 4 (mi) 2 all of which have the same eigenvalue. The degeneracy between (m) firstions and (m) functions would seem "accidental" as there is no group op which connects the (M) fons with the (M2) fons, Typically this indicates that there is a larger symmetry group which connects (M) to (M). (though accidents can actually happen, and sometimes in nature two levels are close in value

for no good reason)