

Consider a hollow charged conducting sphere with charge  $Q$  and radius  $R$ . It is well known that the potential is

$$\Phi(r) = \frac{Q}{R}\theta(R, r) + \frac{Q}{r}\theta(r, R) \quad (0.1)$$

Let's use this to understand the Sturm-Liouville problem. The homogeneous solutions to equations of Sturm-Liouville form satisfy

$$-\frac{d}{dx}p(x)\frac{d}{dx}y(x) + q(x)y(x) = 0, \quad (0.2)$$

while the Green function of this differential equation, satisfies the

$$-\frac{d}{dx}p(x)\frac{d}{dx}G(x, x') + q(x)G(x, x') = \delta(x - x') \quad (0.3)$$

1. Given two homogeneous solutions  $y_1(x)$  and  $y_2(x)$ , show that the  $[\text{Wronsk}(x)p(x)]$  is constant, where Wronsk is the Wronskian (of course!), *i.e.* The  $\text{Wronsk}(x) = y_1(x)y_2'(x) - y_2(x)y_1'(x)$ .
2. Show that the Green function of the Sturm-Liouville problem is

$$G(x, x') = \text{const} [y_1(x)y_2(x')\theta(x, x') + y_1(x')y_2(x)\theta(x', x)] \quad (0.4)$$

and find the constant in terms of the Wronskian. The  $y_1(x)$  and  $y_2(x)$  must satisfy the appropriate boundary conditions as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x \rightarrow 0$  (if that is the domain of interest). Sometimes  $y_1(x)$  is called  $y_>(x)$  and  $y_2(x)$  is called  $y_<(x)$  – Why? Also, the understandable equation eq. (0.4) is often written in a confusing way:

$$G(x, x') = \text{const} [y_1(x_>)y_2(x_<)] , \quad (0.5)$$

where  $x_>$  ( $x_<$ ) is the greater (lesser) of  $x$  and  $x'$ . I much prefer eq. (0.4), since I know how to differentiate a  $\theta$ -fcn.

3. Starting with the Poisson equation, show that the potential due to the charged sphere satisfies  $\Phi(r)$

$$-\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}r^2\frac{d}{dr}\Phi = \frac{Q}{r^2}\delta(r - R). \quad (0.6)$$

(Most (all?) equations of linearized-physics are of Sturm-Liouville type) The two solutions to this equation are  $1/r$  and  $\text{const}$ .

4. Use the Sturm-Liouville theory we developed to write down the Green function for a charged sphere. What solution do you take for  $y_1$  and why. Why not a linear combination?
5. What is the physical interpretation of the fact that Wronskian is constant? This is generically the interpretation of this mathematical fact.