



# 11 Relativity

---

## Postulates

- (a) All inertial observers have the same equations of motion and the same physical laws. Relativity explains how to translate the measurements and events according to one inertial observer to another.
- (b) The speed of light is constant for all inertial frames

## 11.1 Elementary Relativity

### Mechanics of indices, four-vectors, Lorentz transformations

- (a) We describe physics as a sequence of events labelled by their space time coordinates:

$$x^\mu = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) = (ct, \mathbf{x}) \quad (11.1)$$

The space time coordinates of another inertial observer moving with velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  relative to the first measures the coordinates of an event to be

$$\underline{x}^\mu = (\underline{x}^0, \underline{x}^1, \underline{x}^2, \underline{x}^3) = (\underline{ct}, \underline{\mathbf{x}}) \quad (11.2)$$

- (b) The coordinates of an event according to the first observer  $x^\mu$  determine the coordinates of an event according to another observer  $\underline{x}^\mu$  through a linear change of coordinates known as a Lorentz transformation:

$$x^\mu \rightarrow \underline{x}^\mu = L^\mu_\nu(\mathbf{v})x^\nu \quad (11.3)$$

I usually think of  $x^\mu$  as a column vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.4)$$

so that without indices the transform

$$x \rightarrow \underline{x} = (L) x \quad (11.5)$$

Then to change frames from  $K$  to an observer  $\underline{K}$  moving to the right with speed  $v$  relative to  $K$  the transformation matrix is

$$L^\mu_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_v & -\gamma\beta & & \\ -\gamma\beta & \gamma & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.6)$$

- (c) Since the speed of light is constant for all observers we demand that

$$-(ct)^2 + \mathbf{x}^2 = -(\underline{ct})^2 + \underline{\mathbf{x}}^2 \quad (11.7)$$

under Lorentz transformation. We also require that the set of Lorentz transformations satisfy the follow (group) requirements:

$$L(-\mathbf{v})L(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbb{I} \quad (11.8)$$

$$L(\mathbf{v}_2)L(\mathbf{v}_1) = L(\mathbf{v}_3) \quad (11.9)$$

here  $\mathbb{I}$  is the identity matrix. These properties seem reasonable to me, since if I transform to frame moving with velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  and then transform back to a frame moving with velocity  $-\mathbf{v}$ , I should get back the same result. Similarly two Lorentz transformations produce another Lorentz transformation.

(d) Since the combination

$$-(ct)^2 + \mathbf{x}^2 \quad (11.10)$$

is invariant under Lorentz transformation, we introduced an index notation to make such invariant forms manifest. We formalized the lowering of indices

$$x_\mu = g_{\mu\nu}x^\nu \quad x_\mu = (-ct, \mathbf{x}) \quad (11.11)$$

with a metric tensor:

$$g_{00} = -1 \quad g_{11} = g_{22} = g_{33} = 1 \quad (11.12)$$

In this way we define a dot product

$$x \cdot x = x^\mu x_\mu = -(ct)^2 + \mathbf{x}^2 \quad (11.13)$$

is manifestly invariant.

Similarly we raise indices

$$x^\mu = g^{\mu\nu}x_\nu \quad (11.14)$$

with

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.15)$$

Of course the process of lowering and index and then raising it again does nothing:

$$g^\mu_\nu = g^{\mu\sigma}g_{\sigma\nu} = \delta^\mu_\nu = \text{identity matrix} \quad (11.16)$$

(e) Generally the upper indices are “the normal thing”. We will try to leave the dimensions and name of the four vector, corresponding to that of the spatial components. Examples:  $x^\mu = (ct, \mathbf{x})$ ,  $A^\mu = (\varphi, \mathbf{A})$ ,  $J^\mu = (c\rho, \mathbf{j})$ , and  $P^\mu = (E/c, \mathbf{p})$ .

(f) Four vectors are anything that transforms according to the Lorentz transformation  $A^\mu = (A^0, \mathbf{A})$  like coordinates

$$A^\mu = L^\mu_\nu A^\nu \quad (11.17)$$

Given two four vectors,  $A^\mu$  and  $B^\mu$  one can always construct a Lorentz invariant quantity.

$$A \cdot B = A_\mu B^\mu = -A^0 B^0 + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \quad (11.18)$$

(g) From the invariance of the inner product we see that lower-four vectors transform with the inverse transformation and as a row,

$$x_\mu \rightarrow \underline{x}_\nu = x_\mu (L^{-1})^\mu_\nu. \quad (11.19)$$

I usually think of  $x_\mu$  as a row

$$(x_0 \ x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3) \quad (11.20)$$

So the transformation rule is

$$(\underline{x}_0 \ \underline{x}_1 \ \underline{x}_2 \ \underline{x}_3) = (x_0 \ x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3) (L^{-1}) \quad (11.21)$$

- (h) The inverse Lorentz transform can be found by raising and lowering the indices of the transform matrix. We showed that

$$\underbrace{g_{\rho\mu} L_{\nu}^{\mu} g^{\nu\sigma}}_{\equiv L_{\rho}^{\sigma}} = (L^{-1T})_{\rho}^{\sigma} \quad (11.22)$$

so that if one wishes to think of a lowered four vector  $A_{\mu}$  as a column, one has

$$\underline{A}_{\nu} = L_{\nu}^{\mu} A_{\mu} \quad (11.23)$$

Thus, a short exercise (done) in class shows that

$$\underline{T}_{\nu}^{\mu} = L^{\mu}_{\sigma} L_{\nu}^{\rho} T_{\rho}^{\sigma} = L^{\mu}_{\sigma} T_{\rho}^{\sigma} (L^{-1})_{\nu}^{\rho} \quad (11.24)$$

### Doppler shift, four velocity, and proper time.

- (a) The frequency and wave number form a four vector  $K^{\mu} = (\frac{\omega}{c}, \mathbf{k})$ . This can be used to determine a relativistic dopler shift.
- (b) For a particle in motion with velocity  $\mathbf{v}_p$  and gamma factor  $\gamma_p$ , the space-time interval is

$$ds^2 = -(cdt)^2 + d\mathbf{x}^2. \quad (11.25)$$

$ds^2$  is associated with the clicks of the clock in the particles instaneous rest frame,  $ds^2 = -(cd\tau)^2$ , so we have in any other frame

$$d\tau \equiv \sqrt{-ds^2}/c = dt \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2 / c^2} \quad (11.26)$$

$$= \frac{dt}{\gamma_p} \quad (11.27)$$

- (c) The four velocity of a particle is the distance the particle travels per proper time

$$U^{\mu} \equiv \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau} = (u^0, \mathbf{u}) = (\gamma_p, \gamma_p \mathbf{v}_p) \quad (11.28)$$

so

$$\underline{U}^{\mu} = L^{\mu}_{\nu} U^{\nu} \quad (11.29)$$

- (d) The transformation of the four velocity under lorentz transformation should be compared to the transformation of velocities. For a particle moving with velocity  $\mathbf{v}_p$  in frame  $K$ , then in another frame  $\underline{K}$  moving to the right with speed  $v$  the particle moves with velocity

$$\underline{v}_p^{\parallel} = \frac{v_p^{\parallel} - v}{1 - v_p^{\parallel} v / c^2} \quad (11.30)$$

$$\underline{v}_p^{\perp} = \frac{v_p^{\perp}}{\gamma_p (1 - v_p^{\parallel} v / c^2)} \quad (11.31)$$

where  $v_p^{\parallel}$  and  $v_p^{\perp}$  are the components of  $\mathbf{v}_p$  parallel and perpendicular to  $v$

### Energy and Momentum Conservation

- (a) Finally the energy and momentum form a four vector

$$P^{\mu} = \left( \frac{E}{c}, \mathbf{p} \right) \quad (11.32)$$

The invariant product of  $P^\mu$  with itself the rest energy

$$P^\mu P_\mu = -\frac{(mc^2)^2}{c^2} \quad (11.33)$$

This can be inverted giving the energy in terms of the momentum:

$$E = \sqrt{(cp)^2 + (mc^2)^2} \quad (11.34)$$

(b) Energy and Momentum are conserved in collisions, e.g. for a reaction  $1 + 2 \rightarrow 3 + 4$  we have

$$P_1^\mu + P_2^\mu = P_3^\mu + P_4^\mu \quad (11.35)$$

Usually when working with collisions it makes sense to suppress  $c$  or just make the association:

$$\begin{pmatrix} E \\ p \\ m \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{is short for} \quad \begin{pmatrix} E \\ cp \\ mc^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.36)$$

A starting point for analyzing the kinematics of a process is to “square” both sides with the invariant dot product  $P^2 \equiv P \cdot P$ . For example if  $P_1 + P_2 = P_3 + P_4$  then:

$$(P_1 + P_2)^2 = (P_3 + P_4)^2 \quad (11.37)$$

$$P_1^2 + P_2^2 + 2P_1 \cdot P_2 = P_3^2 + P_4^2 + 2P_3 \cdot P_4 \quad (11.38)$$

$$-m_1^2 - m_2^2 - 2E_1E_2 + 2\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2 = -m_3^2 - m_4^2 - 2E_3E_4 + 2\mathbf{p}_3 \cdot \mathbf{p}_4 \quad (11.39)$$

## 11.2 Covariant form of electrodynamics

(a) The players are:

i) The derivatives

$$\partial_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \nabla \right) \quad (11.40)$$

ii) The wave operator

$$\square = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu = \frac{-1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} + \nabla^2 \quad (11.41)$$

iii) The four velocity  $U^\mu = (u^0, \mathbf{u}) = (\gamma_p, \gamma_p v_p)$

iv) The current four vector

$$J^\mu = (c\rho, \mathbf{J}) \quad (11.42)$$

v) The vector potential

$$A^\mu = (\varphi, \mathbf{A}) \quad (11.43)$$

vi) The field strength is a tensor

$$F^{\alpha\beta} = \partial^\alpha A^\beta - \partial^\beta A^\alpha \quad (11.44)$$

which ultimately comes from the relations

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{A} - \nabla \varphi \quad (11.45)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \quad (11.46)$$

In indices we have

$$F^{0i} = E^i \quad E^i = F^{0i} \quad (11.47)$$

$$F^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} B_k \quad B_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} F^{jk} \quad (11.48)$$

In matrix form this anti-symmetric tensor reads

$$F^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E^x & E^y & E^z \\ -E^x & 0 & B^z & -B^y \\ -E^y & -B^z & 0 & B^x \\ -E^z & B^y & -B^x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.49)$$

Raising and lowering indices of  $F^{\mu\nu}$  can change the sign of the zero components, but does not change the  $ij$  components, *e.g.*

$$E^i = F^{0i} = -F^{i0} = F^i{}_0 = -F_0{}^i = -F_{0i} = F^0{}_i = F^{0i} \quad (11.50)$$

vii) The dual field tensor implements the replacment

$$\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \quad \mathbf{B} \rightarrow -\mathbf{E} \quad (11.51)$$

As motivated by the maxwell equations in free space

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad (11.52)$$

$$-\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{E} + \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (11.53)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (11.54)$$

$$-\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{B} - \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad (11.55)$$

which are the same before and after this duality transformation. The dual field strength tensor is

$$\mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^x & B^y & B^z \\ -B^x & 0 & -E^z & E^y \\ -B^y & E^z & 0 & -E^x \\ -B^z & -E^y & -E^x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.56)$$

The dual field strength tensor

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma} \quad (11.57)$$

where the totally anti-symmetric tensor  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  is

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{even perms } 0,1,2,3 \\ -1 & \text{odd perms } 0,1,2,3 \\ 0 & 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (11.58)$$

(b) The equations are

i) The continuity equation:

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0 \quad (11.59) \qquad \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0 \quad (11.60)$$

ii) The wave equation in the covariant gauge

$$-\square A^\mu = J^\mu / c \quad (11.61) \qquad -\square \varphi = \rho \quad (11.62)$$

$$-\square \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{J} / c \quad (11.63)$$

This is true in the covariant gauge

$$\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0 \quad (11.64) \qquad \frac{1}{c} \partial_t \varphi + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \quad (11.65)$$

iii) The force law is:

$$\frac{dP^\mu}{d\tau} = e F^\mu_\nu \frac{U^\nu}{c} \quad (11.66) \qquad \frac{1}{c} \frac{dE}{dt} = e \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \quad (11.67)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = e \mathbf{E} + e \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \quad (11.68)$$

If these equations are multiplied by  $\gamma$  they equal the relativistic equations to the left.

iv) The sourced field equations are :

$$-\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{J^\nu}{c} \quad (11.69) \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho \quad (11.70)$$

$$-\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{E} + \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\mathbf{J}}{c} \quad (11.71)$$

v) The dual field equations are :

$$-\partial_\mu \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (11.72) \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (11.73)$$

$$-\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{B} - \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad (11.74)$$

as might have been inferred by the replacements  $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow -\mathbf{E}$ . The dual field equations can also be written in terms  $F_{\mu\nu}$ , and is known as the Bianchi identity:

$$\partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu F_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu F_{\rho\mu} = 0 \quad (11.75)$$

The dual field equations are equivalent to the statement that that  $F^{\mu\nu}$  can be written in terms of the gauge potential  $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu$ .

vi) The conservation of energy and momentum can be written in terms of the stress tensor:

$$-\partial_\mu \Theta_{\text{em}}^{\mu\nu} = F_\nu^\mu \frac{J^\nu}{c} \quad (11.76) \quad - \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial u_{\text{em}}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{\text{em}}/c) \right) = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}/c \quad (11.77)$$

$$- \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial S_{\text{em}}^j}{\partial t} + \partial_i T_{\text{M}}^{ij} \right) = \rho E^j + (\mathbf{J}/c \times \mathbf{B})^j \quad (11.78)$$

Here we have defined the stress tensor

$$\Theta_{\text{em}}^{\mu\nu} = F^{\mu\lambda} F_\lambda^\nu + g^{\mu\nu} \left( -\frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \right) \quad (11.79)$$

The energy and momentum transferred from the fields  $F^{\mu\nu}$  to the particles (recorded by  $\Theta_{\text{mech}}^{\mu\nu}$ ) is

$$\partial_\mu \Theta_{\text{mech}}^{\mu\nu} = F_\nu^\mu \frac{J^\nu}{c} \quad (11.80)$$

Or

$$\partial_\mu \Theta_{\text{mech}}^{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Theta_{\text{em}}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (11.81)$$