## Moments of characteristic polynomials enumerate two-rowed lexicographic arrays

E. Strahov Eugene.Strahov@brunel.ac.uk

February 17, 2002

## Abstract

A combinatorial interpretation is provided for the moments of characteristic polynomials  $Z(U,\theta) = \det(I - e^{-i\theta}U)$ of random unitary matrices. This leads to a rather unexpected consequence of the Keating and Snaith conjecture: the moments of  $|\xi(1/2+it)|$  turn out to be connected with some increasing subsequences problems (such as the last passage percolation problem).

**Conjecture.** (*Keating and Snaith*) *The limit:* 

$$I_m = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{(\log T)^{m^2}} \int_0^T |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^{2m} dt \quad (1)$$

exists and is equal to a product of two factors, f(m) and a(m), i. e.

$$I_m = a(m)f(m) \tag{2}$$

The first factor a(m) is the zeta-function specific part,

$$a(m) = \prod_{p} (1 - \frac{1}{p})^{m^2} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{\Gamma(k+m)}{k! \ \Gamma(m)} \right)^2 p^{-k}$$
(3)

and the second factor f(m) is the random matrix (universal) part

$$f(m) = \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{-m^2} \langle |Z(U,\theta)|^{2m} \rangle_{U(N)}$$
(4)

2

- I provide a combinatorial interpretation for the moments of characteristic polynomials,  $\langle |Z(U,\theta)|^{2m} \rangle_{U(N)}$
- These moments are related with two-rowed lexicographic arrays which are generalizations of permutations and words in combinatorics.
- An explicit formula is obtained for the total number of two-rowed lexicographic arrays constructed from the letters of an alphabet of *m* symbols with the increasing subsequences of the length at most *N*.
- The random matrix part f(m) defined by equations (1)-(3) is related with the weaklyright/weakly-up lattice model of the last passage percolation.

Increasing subsequences of lexicographic arrays and  $\langle |Z(U,\theta)|^{2m} \rangle_{U(N)}$ 

• Two-rowed array of the size K:  

$$A_{2,K}^{m} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1} & u_{2} & \dots & u_{K} \\ v_{1} & v_{2} & \dots & v_{K} \end{pmatrix}$$

The letters u<sub>1</sub>, u<sub>2</sub>, ..., u<sub>K</sub> and v<sub>1</sub>, v<sub>2</sub>, ..., v<sub>K</sub> belong to an alphabet (any ordered set) ℵ<sub>m</sub> of m different letters.

• Lexicographic arrays 
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
  

$$\begin{cases}
 u_j < u_{j+1} \\
 \text{if } u_j = u_{j+1} \text{ then } v_j < v_{j+1}.
 \end{cases}$$

Lexicographic array ↔ pair of *semi-standard* Young tableaux

•  $l(A_{2,K}^m)$  -length of the maximal (weakly) increasing subsequence of  $A_{2,K}^m$ 

• 
$$R_{m,N}^K = \sharp$$
 of  $A_{2,K}^m$  with  $l(A_{2,K}^m) \le N$   
 $R_{m,N} = \sum_{K=0}^{+\infty} R_{m,N}^K$ 

•  $d_{\lambda}(m)$  -  $\sharp$  of semi-standard Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  with entries from [1, 2, ..., m]

The Robinson-Schensted-Knuth correspondence gives:

$$R_{m,N} = \sum_{K=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{\lambda \vdash K, \ \lambda_1 \le N} d_{\lambda}^2(m) = \langle |Z(U,\theta)|^{2m} \rangle_{U(N)}$$

Combinatorial interpretation:  $\langle |Z(U,\theta)|^{2m} \rangle_{U(N)}$ equal to the number of two-rowed lexicographic arrays constructed from an alphabet of m symbols with the weakly increasing subsequences of the N elements at most.

• The Keating and Snaith formula:

$$\langle |Z(U,\theta)|^{2m} \rangle_{U(N)} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(j)\Gamma(j+2m)}{\left[\Gamma(j+m)\right]^2}$$
(5)

• The equality between the number of arrays  $R_{m,N}$  and the moments of characteristic polynomials gives:

$$R_{m,N} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(j)\Gamma(j+2m)}{\left[\Gamma(j+m)\right]^2}$$
(6)

**Example.** Alphabet:  $\aleph_m = (a, b), m = 2.$ A typical lexicographic array:

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}a&a&b&b\\a&b&b&b\end{array}\right)$$

An example of a two-rowed non-lexicographic array :

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}a&a&b&b\\a&b&a&b\end{array}\right)$$

( second letter of the word abab, b, is larger than the third letter of this word a)

All possible two-rowed lexicographic arrays from  $\aleph_m$  with the weakly increasing subsequences of the length at most N = 2:

There are 20 arrays with the required properties. The formula (6) obtained above gives precisely this number, i.e.

$$\mathsf{R}_{m,N} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(j)\Gamma(j+2m)}{[\Gamma(j+m)]^2} = 20 \qquad (m=2, N=2)$$

 X(i, j), i, j ∈ [1, 2, 3, · · · , m]: a planar array of independent integers

\*ij  $\equiv$  the passage time at (ij)

- $(1,1) \searrow (m,m) \equiv$  the set of down/right paths from (1,1) to (m,m)
- $T(m,m) = \max_{\pi \in (1,1) \searrow (m,m)} \sum_{i,j \in \pi} ij \equiv \text{last passage percolation time to travel from (1,1)}$ to (m,m)
- $\sharp$  of X(i,j) with  $T(m,m) \le n = \langle |Z(U,\theta)|^{2m} \rangle_{U(N)}$ =  $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(j)\Gamma(j+2m)}{[\Gamma(j+m)]^2}$